INDICATOR	Scoring Criteria	Score 1-9
	To rate this as a 9, the HCC's strategic plan has been submitted and verified by the awardee	
	To rate this as an 8, the strategic plan has been approved, signed, and adopted by HCC members	
	To rate this as a 7, the strategic plan has been reviewed by HCC members within the last five years	
	To rate this as a 6, there is a strategic plan that addresses the critical tasks for both the administrative plan and preparedness strategy	
The HCC has developed a trategic plan	To rate this as a 5, there is a complete administrative plan OR preparedness strategy, and there inactivity to develop the other	
with participation from its nembership.	To rate this as a 4, strategic plans (administrative plan OR preparedness strategy) exist, and there are few missing components, but there are planned activities toward revising the plan	5
-	To rate this as a 3, strategic plans (administrative plan OR preparedness strategy) exist, but there are several missing components, and there are no planned activities to revise the plans	
	To rate this as a 2, there are no strategic plans (administrative plan OR preparedness strategy), but there are planned activities toward the development of the plan	
	To rate this as a 1, there are no strategic plans (administrative plan OR preparedness strategy)nor any activity or evidence toward planning	

INDICATOR	Scoring Criteria	Score 1-9
The HCC has demonstrated, through exercise or real incident, its ability to both deliver appropriate levels of care to all patients, as well as to provide no less than 20% immediate availability of staffed members' beds, within 4 hours of a disaster.	To rate this as a 9, the medical surge plan is routinely updated and has been tested based on exercise findings from a demonstration of the required capability targets To rate this as an 8, the medical surge plan has been approved, signed, and adopted by HCC members and response partners To rate this as a 7, the medical surge plan has been reviewed by HCC members and sectors of the response community within the last 5 years To rate this as a 6, a medical surge plan has been drafted that addresses the capability planning targets and critical tasks To rate this as a 5, there is evidence that HCCs are part of the medical surge planning process during revision of planning To rate this as a 4, medical surge plans exist, and while there are missing planning components, there are planned activities toward revising the plan To rate this as a 3, medical surge plans exist; there are missing planning components, but there are no planned activities to revise the plans To rate this as a 2, there are no medical surge plans, but there are planned activities toward the development of a medical surge plans nor activity or evidence toward planning	7

INDICATOR	Scoring Criteria	Score 1-9
	To rate this as a 9, the medical surge plan is routinely updated and has been tested based on exercise findings from a demonstration of the required capability target	
	To rate this as an 8, the medical surge plan has been approved, signed, and adopted by	
The HCC has	HCC members and response partners	
demonstrated the ability to do the following during an	To rate this as a 7, the medical surge plan has been reviewed by HCC members and sectors of the response community within the last five years	
incident, exercise or event: monitor	To rate this as a 6, there must be a medical surge plan drafted that addresses the capability planning targets and critical tasks	
patient acuity and staffed bed availability in	To rate this as a 5, there is evidence that HCCs are part of the planning process during revision of planning	5
real-time, off- load patients, on-load patients,	To rate this as a 4, medical surge plans exist, and while there are missing planning components, there are planned activities toward revising the plan	
and track and document patient	To rate this as a 3, medical surge plans exist, and there are missing planning components without no activity to revise the plans)	
movement	To rate this as a 2, there are no medical surge plans but there are planned activities toward the development of the plan	
	To rate this as a 1, there are neither medical surge plans nor activity or evidence toward planning	

INDICATOR	Scoring Criteria	Score 1-9	
	To rate this as a 9, the criteria for 6, 7, and 8 have been met, and there is evidence of change in administrative or preparedness functions, which has been documented in the strategic plan		
	To rate this as an 8, the criteria for 6 and 7 have been met, and there is evidence of change in administrative or preparedness functions		
	To rate this as a 7, the criteria for 6 has been met and there is evidence of the formal process through conflict resolution decisions approved by the HCC		
The HCC has a mechanism to obtain feedback	To rate this as a 6, a process for conflict resolution has been developed and accepted by HCC members and is documented in the administrative plan		
to help resolve member conflicts that	To rate this as a 5, a process for conflict resolution has been developed and accepted by HCC members but is not documented in the administrative plan	6	
have the potential to affect the overall	To rate this as a 4, a process for conflict resolution has been developed but remains undocumented in the administrative plan or unapproved by HCC member.	Ŭ	
performance of the HCC.	To rate this as a 3, a process for conflict resolution has been developed but is not accepted by HCC members.		
	To rate this as a 2, there is no process for conflict resolution, but one is being developed or is planned		
	To rate this as a 1, there is no process for conflict resolution		

INDICATOR	Scoring Criteria	Score 1-9
	To rate this as a 9, the criteria for 6, 7, and 8 have been met, and the document is used across disciplines	
	To rate this as an 8, the criteria for 6 and 7 have been met, and the document has been reviewed within the last five years	
	To rate this as a 7, the criteria for 6 has been met, and there is documented evidence that the HCC was included in the development of the assessment	
The HCC has access to a risk- based HVA	To rate this as a 6, the risk assessment used for planning, organization, equipping, training and exercising by the coalition has been completed	
which prioritizes the risks to its	To rate this as a 5, there is a risk assessment in use, but it is out of date or has not been integrated with healthcare system risks	5
members.	To rate this as a 4, the risk assessment has been drafted but has not been vetted by essential partners	
	To rate this as a 3, the risk assessment is in development	
	To rate this as a 2, the risk assessment development has been planned	
	To rate this as a 1, no risk assessment has been conducted, and there is no plan to develop one	

INDICATOR	Scoring Criteria	Score 1-9
	To rate this as a 9, the criteria for 6, 7, and 8 have been met and the resource assessment is tested/revised based on findings	
	To rate this as an 8, the criteria for 6 and 7 have been met, and the resource assessment is recognized by relevant response partners	
	To rate this as a 7, the criteria for 6 have been met ,and the resource assessment has been accepted by the essential members of the HCC	
The HCC has conducted a gap analysis to	To rate this as a 6, a resource and capability assessment has been documented, including the identification of gaps in planning, organization, equipment, training and exercise and resources or resource processes have been matched to priority healthcare delivery services	
identify resource shortfalls during an event and is implementing	To rate this as a 5, a resource assessment has been completed for healthcare system and jurisdictional resources, which includes resource request processes and mutual aid agreements	4
plans to close those resource	To rate this as a 4, a resource assessment has been completed for healthcare system resources	
gaps.	To rate this as a 3, a resource assessment has been completed for jurisdictional resource.	
	To rate this as a 2, a resource assessment or gap analysis is in progress or planned	
	To rate this as a 1, no resource assessment or gap analysis is in progress or planned	

INDICATOR	Scoring Criteria	Score 1-9
The HCC has a	To rate this as a 9, the medical surge plan is routinely updated and has been	
process to	tested based on exercise findings demonstrating the required capability	
enhance its	targets (e.g., communication and reporting of surge status)	
member's		
situational	To rate this as an 8, the medical surge plan has been approved, signed, and	
awareness to	adopted by HCC members and relevant response partners	
support		
activation of	To rate this as a 7, the medical surge plan has been reviewed by HCC	
immediate bed	members and all relevant sectors of the response community within the last	
availability	five years	
through		
continuous	To rate this as a 6, a medical surge plan has been drafted that addresses the	
monitoring.	capability planning targets and critical tasks	C
	To rate this as a 5, there is evidence that HCCs are part of the medical surge planning process during revision of plans	6
	To rate this as a 4, medical surge plans exist, but they are missing planning components. Activities are planned to revise the plan	
	To rate this as a 3, medical surge plans exist, but there are missing planning components without planned activities to revise the plans	
	To rate this as a 2, there are no medical surge plans, but there are planned activities toward the development of the plan	
	To rate this as a 1, there are no medical surge plans nor any activity or evidence toward developing these plans	

INDICATOR	Scoring Criteria	Score 1-9
The HCC has demonstrated the capability of a redundant means of communication for achieving and sustaining situational awareness.	To rate this as a 9, the information/communication plan is routinely updated and has been tested based on exercise findings demonstrating the required capability targets To rate this as an 8, the information/communication plan has approved, signed, and adopted by HCC members and relevant response partners. To rate this as a 7, the information/communication plan has been reviewed by HCC members and all relevant sectors of the response community within the last five years To rate this as a 6, there must be information/communication plans drafted that address the capability planning targets and critical tasks To rate this as a 5, there must be evidence that HCCs are part of the planning process and revision of information/communication plans exist; however there are missing planning components. Activities exist to revise the plan To rate this as a 3, information/communication plans exist; and while there are missing planning components, and there are no planned activities to revise the plans. To rate this as a 2, there are no information/communication plans, but there are planned activities toward the development of the plan. To rate this as a 1, there are no information/communication plans nor any activity or evidence toward planning.	7

INDICATOR	Scoring Criteria	Score 1-9
	To rate this as a 9, the resource management plan is routinely updated and has been tested based on exercise findings demonstrating the required capability targets.	
	To rate this as an 8, the resource management plan has been approved, signed, and adopted by HCC members and relevant response partners.	
	To rate this as a 7, the resource management plan has been reviewed by HCC members and all relevant sectors of the response community within the last five years	
The HCC has tested its ability to address its member's	To rate this as a 6, there must be a resource component of a resource management plan or an annex that addresses the health and safety needs of the healthcare workforce; the plan is drafted and addresses the capability planning targets and critical tasks	
healthcare workforce safety needs through	To rate this as a 5, there is evidence that HCCs are part of the planning process and revision of resource management planning	4
training and resources.	To rate this as a 4, resource management plans exist but there are missing planning components, but there are activities toward revising the plan	
	To rate this as a 3, resource management plans exist; and while planning components are missing, there are no planned activities to revise the plans	
	To rate this as a 2, there are no resource management plans, but there are planned activities toward the development of the plan	
	To rate this as a 1, there are no resource management plans nor any activity or evidence toward planning	

INDICATOR	Scoring Criteria	Score 1-9
	To rate this as a 9, the continuity of healthcare operations plan is routinely updated and has been tested based on exercise findings from a demonstration of the required capability targets	
	To rate this as an 8, the continuity of healthcare operations plan has been approved, signed, and adopted by HCC members and relevant response partners	
	To rate this as a 7, the continuity of healthcare operations plan has been reviewed by HCC members and all relevant sectors of the response community within the last five years	
The HCC has prioritized and	To rate this as a 6, a continuity of healthcare operations plan has been drafted that addresses the capability planning targets and critical tasks	
integrated essential healthcare	To rate this as a 5, there is evidence that HCCs are part of the planning process and revision of continuity of healthcare operations planning	5
recovery needs in its Emergency Operation Plan.	To rate this as a 4, continuity of healthcare operations plans exist; and while there are missing planning components, there are planned activities toward revising the plan	
	To rate this as a 3, continuity of healthcare operations plans exist but there are missing planning components and there are no planned activities to revise the plans	
	To rate this as a 2, there are no continuity of healthcare operations plans but there are planned activities toward the development of the plan	
	To rate this as a 1, there are no recovery plans with continuity of healthcare operations plans nor any activity or evidence toward planning	

INDICATOR	Scoring Criteria	Score 1-9
	To rate this as a 9, the criteria for 6, 7, and 8 have been met, and there is evidence of	
	improvement planning and mitigation efforts to address planning, organizing,	
	equipping, training, and exercising deficiencies by the awardee in support of HCCs	
	To rate this as an 8, the criteria for 6 and 7 have been met, and the corrective actions	
	have been completed	
	To rate this as a 7, the criteria for 6 have been met, and there is an improvement plan addressing HCC corrective actions	
The HCC has		
achieved its	To rate this as a 6, the exercise objectives match program requirements and have been	
exercise objectives	tested per requirements	C C
during tests of	To rate this as a 5, the exercise objectives have been drafted and are both Homeland	6
state or regional healthcare	Security Exercise and Evaluation Program (HSEEP-) and HPP-program compliant	
disaster plans.	To rate this as a 4, the exercise objectives have been tested but do not match required objectives	
	To rate this as a 3, the exercise objectives have been drafted but do not match required objectives and have not been tested	
	To rate this as a 2, the exercise objectives are being developed	
	To rate this as a 1, the awardee has not developed nor met exercise objectives	

Developmental Factor	Scoring Criteria	Score
Developmental Factor The HCC has established a formal self-governance structure, including leadership roles.	 Scoring Criteria To rate this as a 9, the HCC's administrative plan has been submitted as complete and it is verified on a periodic basis by the awardee To rate this as an 8, HCC members have reviewed the formal self-governance structure within the last two years To rate this as a 7, the formal self-governance structure has been approved and adopted by HCC members. To rate this as a 6, there is an administrative strategy that includes the components (critical tasks) for a formal self-governance structure To rate this as a 5, formal self-governance structure exists and is documented, there are some missing components (1-2), and there are planned activities toward revising the plan To rate this as a 4, a formal self-governance structure exists and is documented, but there are three or more missing components and is activity toward revising the plan. To rate this as a 3, a formal self-governance structure exists, but there are missing components and is activities to revise the plans To rate this as a 2, there is no formal self-governance structure, but there are planned activities toward development To rate this as a 1, there are no plans nor any activity or evidence toward developing a formal self-governance structure 	Score

The HCC has multi- disciplinary healthcare organization membership. • To rate this as a 9, evidence of consistent essential partner and subject matter expert participation for capability development exists, and an accountability process is in place (e.g., documentation of attendance or engagement)	
 To rate this as an 8, evidence of essential partner membership and subject matter experts for capability development exist. To rate this as a 7, evidence of essential partner membership for capability development exists, but subject matter experts are still missing To rate this as a 6, evidence of consistent essential membership participation or engagement at meetings, as a minimum, exists; and the HCC has documented this evidence (through administrative plans and meeting documentation) To rate this as a 5, essential members inconsistently participate or engage, and the HCC has identified this as a gap and is addressing it To rate this as a 4, essential members participate or are engaged, but the HCC has no documentation of their participation To rate this as a 3, <i>most</i> essential members participate or are engaged, but the HCC lacks documentation of their participation To rate this as a 2, some of the essential members are represented at the HCC To rate this as a 1, little to no participation of essential members in the healthcare coalition exists 	5

Development Factor	Scoring Criteria	Score
The HCC has established its geographical boundaries.	• To rate this as a 9, the HCC has met the criteria for 6, 7, and 8, and evidence exists that the boundaries do not limit resource planning per HCCDA 5.	
	• To rate this as an 8, the HCC has met the criteria for both 6 and 7, and the boundary cannot be changed without member input and approval.	
	 To rate this as a 7, the HCC has met criteria for 6, and a documented process to adjust the regional boundary based on the needs of the member organizations is in place. 	
	• To rate this as a 6, the HCC has defined and documented a regional boundary based on the needs of the member organizations.	
	• To rate this as a 5, the regional boundary met criteria minimal requirements, but due to changing priorities, the HCC is revising it.	6
	• To rate this as a 4, boundaries exist, but they do not represent or reflect a viable system that can meet the intentions of the HCC (based on ongoing analysis).	
	• To rate this as a 3, the HCC has defined but not documented a regional boundary.	
	• To rate this as a 2, boundaries exist, but were selected without representation of the members.	
	• To rate this as a 1, no defined boundaries exist.	

Development Factor	Scoring Criteria	Score
Development Factor The HCC has a formalized process for resource and information management with its membership.	 Scoring Criteria To rate this as a 9, the HCC has documented resource and information management processes in operational plans and tested and revised the processes based on findings To rate this as an 8, the HCC has documented resource and information management processes in operational plans recognized by the jurisdiction To rate this as a 7, the HCC has documented resource and information management processes in operational plans which have been accepted and approved the processes To rate this as a 6, the HCC has documented resource and information management processes in operational plans, which include a complete resource assessment and gap analysis To rate this as a 5, the HCC has completed a resource assessment, and resource and information management processes exist, but HCC members have not documented them in operational plans To rate this as a 4, the HCC has completed a resource assessment, and resource and information management processes are nearly complete To rate this as a 3, the HCC has started a complete assessment of resource and information management processes To rate this as a 1, the HCC has planned but not started an assessment of resource and information processes To rate this as a 1, the resource assessment does not exist nor do resource/information processes or any activity toward achieving the resource assessment 	G

Development Factor	Scoring Criteria	Score
The HCC is integrated into the healthcare delivery system processes for their jurisdiction (e.g., EMS, referral patterns, etc.).	 To rate this as a 9, the HCC has met the criteria for 6, 7, and 8 and has tested/revised the plan based on findings. To rate this as an 8, the HCC has met the criteria for 6 and 7, and jurisdictional emergency management is aware of the healthcare roles and responsibilities in the plan. To rate this as a 7, the HCC has met the criteria for 6, and healthcare executives and healthcare leaders have accepted the plan. To rate this as a 6, the HCC has conducted a full assessment of normal operating and disaster operating patterns, including resources and essential services, and the HCC has a plan reflecting resource request processes. To rate this as a 5, resource request processes reflect normal operating patterns and disaster patterns, but the HCC has no documentation. To rate this as a 3, resource request processes reflect normal operating patterns, but the HCC has no documentation. To rate this as a 3, resource request processes reflect most resource patterns of applicable essential healthcare services. To rate this as a 1, no assessment of healthcare delivery patterns exists, and the HCC has no plans to assess these patterns. 	4

Development Factor	Scoring Criteria	Score
The HCC has established roles and responsibilities.	 To rate this as a 9, the HCC has met the criteria for 6, 7, and 8 and have been tested/revised the plan based on findings 	
	 To rate this as an 8, the HCC has met the criteria for 6 and 7, and the jurisdictional emergency management is aware of healthcare roles and responsibilities in the plan 	
	• To rate this as a 7, the HCC has met the criteria for 6, and the HCC has approved the plan	
	 To rate this as a 6, roles and responsibilities for HCC members exist for administrative/preparedness strategies, and the HCC has documented roles and responsibilities for essential members in operational plans 	
	 To rate this as a 5, roles and responsibilities for HCC members exist for administrative/preparedness strategies, and the HCC has documented roles and responsibilities for essential members in operational plans, but essential member roles and responsibilities are missing 	5
	 To rate this as a 4, roles and responsibilities for HCC members exist for administrative/preparedness strategies and operational plans, but the HCC has not documented them 	
	 To rate this as a 3, there is a plan to develop roles and responsibilities for HCC members for administrative/preparedness strategies and operational plans 	
	• To rate this as a 2, there is a plan to develop roles and responsibilities for HCC members for administrative/preparedness strategies or operational plans.	
	 To rate this as a 1, no roles and responsibilities have been developed for any member of the HCC for either administrative/preparedness strategies or operational plans 	

Development Factor	Scoring Criteria	Score
The HCC has conducted an assessment of each of its member's healthcare delivery capacities and capabilities.	 To rate this as a 9, the HCC has met the criteria for 6, 7, and 8, and a process for ongoing updates/revisions is in place To rate this as an 8, the HCC has met the criteria for 6 and 7, and all relevant stakeholders have access to the assessment To rate this as a 7, the HCC has met the criteria for 6, and the HCC accepts the assessment To rate this as a 6, the HCC has completed a full capability assessment of members, and the HCC has met have conducted and documented a gap analysis To rate this as a 5, a capability assessment is complete, and the HCC has analyzed it for gaps. To rate this as a 4, a capability assessment is complete, but the HCC has not analyzed it for gaps To rate this as a 3, a capability assessment is complete for most HCC members To rate this as a 1, the HCC has neither conducted nor are planning a capability assessment 	3

Development Factor	Scoring Criteria	Score
The HCC has engaged its member's healthcare delivery system executives.	 To rate this as a 9, the HCC has met the criteria for 6, 7, and 8, and evidence exists of guidance and direction from healthcare delivery executives in the functioning of HCCs. 	
	 To rate this as an 8, the HCC has met the criteria for 6 and 7, and a process is in place to ensure healthcare executives see and accept decisions regarding healthcare system delivery. 	
	• To rate this as a 7, the HCC has met the criteria for 6, and evidence exists of regular participation of the HCC.	
	 To rate this as a 6, the HCC has engaged all relevant healthcare delivery executives, and the HCC has developed and documented the process for ongoing engagement 	
	 To rate this as a 5, the HCC has engaged all relevant healthcare delivery executives, and the HCC has developed, but not documented, the process for ongoing engagement 	4
	 To rate this as a 4, the HCC has engaged all relevant healthcare delivery executives, but the HCC has not developed a process for ongoing engagement 	
	 To rate this as a 3, the HCC has engaged healthcare delivery executives, or the process for engagement is ongoing. 	
	 To rate this as a 2, the HCC is planning the process for the engagement of healthcare-delivery executives 	
	 To rate this as a 1, the HCC has not engaged healthcare delivery system executives 	

Development Factor	Scoring Criteria	Score
The HCC has engaged its member's healthcare delivery system clinical leaders.	 To rate this as a 9, the HCC has met the criteria for 6, 7, and 8, and evidence exists of guidance and direction from clinical leaders in the functioning of HCCs To rate this as an 8, the HCC has met the criteria for 6 and 7, and a process is in place to ensure healthcare clinical leaders see and accept decisions regarding clinical care To rate this as a 7, the HCC has met the criteria for 6, and evidence exists of regular participation of the HCC To rate this as a 6, the HCC has engaged all relevant healthcare delivery clinical leaders, and the process for ongoing engagement has been developed and documented To rate this as a 5, the HCC has engaged all relevant healthcare delivery clinical leaders, and the HCC has developed, but not documented, the process for ongoing engagement To rate this as a 4, the HCC has engaged all relevant healthcare delivery clinical leaders, but the HCC has not developed a process for ongoing engagement To rate this as a 4, the HCC has engaged all relevant healthcare delivery clinical leaders, and the HCC has not developed a process for ongoing engagement To rate this as a 4, the HCC has engaged all relevant healthcare delivery clinical leaders, but the HCC has not developed a process for ongoing engagement To rate this as a 3, the HCC has engaged healthcare delivery system clinical leaders, or the process for engagement is ongoing. To rate this as a 2, the HCC has planned the process for healthcare delivery system clinical leaders engagement To rate this as a 1, the HCC has not engaged clinical leaders 	4

Development Factor	Scoring Criteria	Score
The HCC has an organizational structure to develop operational plans.	 To rate this as a 9, the HCC has met the criteria for 6, 7, and 8, and the HCC has regularly reviewed the strategy (according to HCC policies) and revised it based on findings/input from members 	
	• To rate this as an 8, the HCC has met the criteria for 6 and 7, and the strategy has produced functional operational plans	
	• To rate this as a 7, the HCC has met the criteria for 6, and documented evidence exists of implementation of the strategy	
	 To rate this as a 6, the HCC has developed and documented the complete strategy for planning, organizing, equipping, training, and exercises 	
	 To rate this as a 5, the HCC has developed, but not documented, a complete strategy for planning, organizing, equipping, training and exercises 	5
	 To rate this as a 4, the HCC has developed most of the strategy for planning, organizing, equipping, training, and exercises 	
	 To rate this as a 3, the HCC has developed some of the strategy for planning, organizing, equipping, training, and exercises 	
	• To rate this as a 2, the HCC has undertaken activity or has planned to develop a preparedness strategy or structure to address operational planning	
	• To rate this as a 1, the HCC has not developed a preparedness strategy nor structure to address operational planning	

Development Factor	Scoring Criteria	Score
The HCC has an incident management structure (e.g., MACC, ICS) to coordinate actions to achieve incident objectives during response.	 To rate this as a 9, HCC members routinely update the emergency operation plan (EOP) and have tested it based on exercise findings from a demonstration of the required capability targets To rate this as an 8, HCC members and response partners have approved, signed, and adopted the plan To rate this as a 7, HCC members and sectors of the response community have reviewed the plan within the last five years To rate this as a 6, the HCC members have an EOP drafted that identifies HCC integration with the incident management structure and addresses the capability planning targets and critical tasks To rate this as a 5, evidence exists that HCCs are part of the planning process during revision of planning To rate this as a 4, plans exist but are missing planning components, and HCC members are undertaking activity toward revising the plan To rate this as a 3, plans exist but are missing planning components, but HCC members are not undertaking activities to revise the plans To rate this as a 2, no plans exist, but HCC members are undertaking activity toward developing the plan To rate this as a 1, HCC members have no activities toward developing the plan 	5
	 To rate this as a 1, HCC members have no activities toward developing the plan 	

Development Factor	Scoring Criteria	Score
The HCC demonstrates an ability to enhance situational awareness for its members during an event.	 To rate this as a 9, the HCC routinely updates the plan and tests it based on exercise findings from a demonstration of the required capability targets To rate this as an 8, HCC members and response partners have approved, signed, and adopted the plan. To rate this as a 7, HCC members and sectors of the response community have reviewed the plan within the last five years To rate this as a 6, the HCC has an emergency operations plan drafted that includes activation and communications protocols that address the capability planning targets and critical tasks To rate this as a 5, evidence exists that HCCs are part of the planning process during revision of planning To rate this as a 4, plans exist but are missing planning components, and the HCC has undertaken activity toward revising the plan. To rate this as a 2, no plans exist, but the HCC has undertaken activity toward the development of the plan To rate this as a 1, the HCC does not have either plans nor activity toward planning 	6

Development Factor	Scoring Criteria	Score
The HCC demonstrates an ability to identify the needs of at-risk individuals (e.g., electrically dependent home-	• To rate this as a 9, the HCC has met the criteria for 6, 7, and 8, and routinely reviews the plan for gaps based on findings during exercise or other activities	
bound patients, chronically ill) during response.	 To rate this as an 8, the HCC has met the criteria for 6 and 7; the HCC has adopted the plans, and relevant stakeholders recognize the plan 	
	• To rate this as a 7, the HCC has met the criteria for 6, and subject matter experts on at-risk populations have participated, reviewed, and accepted the plans	
	• To rate this as a 6, the HCC has completed and documented at-risk planning in operational plans	
	• To rate this as a 5, the HCC has drafted at-risk planning documents	4
	• To rate this as a 4, the HCC is developing at-risk planning as an overarching plan or during operational planning but has not documented these efforts	
	 To rate this as a 3, the HCC is developing at-risk planning in most operational plans 	
	• To rate this as a 2, the HCC has planned or is undertaking at-risk planning activities	
	• To rate this as a 1, the HCC has no activity or planning for at-risk populations	

Development Factor	Scoring Criteria	Score
The HCC demonstrates resource support and coordination among its member organizations under the time urgency, uncertainty, and logistical constraints of emergency response.	 To rate this as a 9, the HCC has routinely updates the plan and tests it based on exercise findings from a demonstration of the required capability targets To rate this as an 8, HCC members and relevant response partners have approved, signed, and adopted the plan To rate this as a 7, HCC members and all relevant sectors of the response community have reviewed the plan within the last five years. 	
	 To rate this as a 6, the HCC has an EOP drafted that addresses the capability planning targets and critical tasks. 	
	• To rate this as a 5, evidence exists that HCCs participate in the planning process during revision of planning	5
	• To rate this as a 4, plans exist but are missing planning components, and the HCC has undertaken activities toward revising the plan	3
	• To rate this as a 3, plans exist but are missing planning components, and the HCC has not undertaken activities to revise the plans	
	• To rate this as a 2, no plans exist, but the HCC has undertaken activities toward the development of the plan	
	• To rate this as a 1, the HCC has neither plans nor activity toward planning	

Development Factor	Scoring Criteria	Score
The HCC utilizes an operational framework and set of indicators to transition from crisis standards of care, to contingency, and ultimately back to conventional standards of care.	 To rate this as a 9, HCC members routinely update the crisis standards of care (CSC) guidance and test it based on a catastrophic exercise scenario To rate this as an 8, HCC members and relevant response partners have signed and adopted the CSC guidance To rate this as a 7, HCC members and all relevant sectors of the response community have reviewed the CSC guidance within the last three years To rate this as a 6, HCC members have drafted CSC guidance drafted (awardee - state level) that addresses the capability planning targets and critical tasks To rate this as a 5, evidence exists that HCCs are part of the CSC guidance planning process and revision of planning To rate this as a 4, CSC guidance exists but is missing planning components, and the HCC is undertaking activities to revise the plans To rate this as a 2, there are no CSC guidance plans, but the HCC is undertaking activities toward developing the plan. To rate this as a 1, there are no CSC guidance plans nor any activity or evidence toward planning 	3

Development Factor	Scoring Criteria	Score
The HCC incorporates post incident health services recovery into planning and response.	 To rate this as a 9, the HCC routinely updates the recovery plan routinely and tests it based on exercise findings from a demonstration of the required capability targets To rate this as an 8, HCC members and relevant response partners have approved, signed, and adopted the recovery plan To rate this as a 7, HCC members and all relevant sectors of the response community have reviewed the recovery plan within the last five years To rate this as a 6, the HCC has a recovery plan drafted (awardee - state level) that addresses the capability planning targets and critical tasks for post-incident health services recovery To rate this as a 5, evidence exists that HCCs are part of the post-incident health services planning process during revision of planning To rate this as a 4, recovery plans exist but are missing planning components for post-incident health services, and the HCC is undertaking activities to revise the plan. To rate this as a 3, recovery plans exist but are missing planning components for post-incident health services, and the HCC is not undertaking activities to revise the plans. To rate this as a 2, no recovery plans exist, but the HCC is undertaking activities to revise the plans. To rate this as a 1, there are no recovery plans nor any activity or evidence toward planning 	4

Development Factor	Scoring Criteria	Score
The HCC ensures quality improvement through exercises/events and corrective action plans.	 To rate this as a 9, exercises/real-world demonstrations have occurred; areas for improvement have been addressed, and the preparedness strategy has been revised to reflect improvements To rate this as an 8, exercises/real-world demonstrations have occurred; areas for improvement have been addressed To rate this as a 7, exercises/real-world demonstrations have occurred; there is a documented and accepted process for implementing corrective actions, and few mission-critical findings remain unresolved To rate this as a 6, exercises/real-world demonstrations have occurred; there is a process for implementing corrective actions, but many mission-critical findings remain unresolved To rate this as a 5, exercises/real-world demonstrations have occurred, but mission-critical findings have not been resolved To rate this as a 4, exercises/real-world demonstrations have occurred based on HSEEP and HPP requirements, and the AAR/IP has been submitted To rate this as a 2, there is progress or planning to exercise in a qualifying exercise per HPP requirements. To rate this as a 1, no exercises/real-world demonstration have occurred in the last five years 	5

Development Factor	Scoring Criteria	Score
The HCC has an established a method (e.g., social network analysis) for incorporating feedback from its members to support group cohesion and improve processes	 To rate this as a 9, the HCC has met the criteria for 6, 7, and 8, and evidence exists that the process for incorporating feedback is effective (based on the revision of strategies in the administrative plan) To rate this as an 8, the HCC has met the criteria for 6 and 7, and a formal procedure to act on decisions to change processes exists To rate this as a 7, the HCC has met the criteria for 6, and a formal process exists to assess the feedback and form a decision approved by the HCC To rate this as a 6, the HCC has developed and accepted a process to solicit member feedback and has documented this process in the administrative plan To rate this as a 5, the HCC has developed and accepted a process but has not documented it in the administrative plan To rate this as a 4, the HCC has developed a process but has not approved or documented it in the administrative plan To rate this as a 3, the HCC has developed a process but has not accepted it To rate this as a 1, the HCC has developed a process but has not accepted it To rate this as a 1, the HCC has no process to provide feedback to support group cohesion and improve processes 	4

Development Factor	Scoring Criteria	Score
The HCC members demonstrate an evacuation capability with functional	To rate this as a 9, the HCC routinely updates the healthcare evacuation plan and tests it based on exercise findings from a demonstration of the required capability targets	5
patient tracking mechanisms.	To rate this as an 8, HCC members and relevant response partners have approved, signed, and adopted the healthcare evacuation plan	
	To rate this as a 7, HCC members and all relevant sectors of the response community have reviewed the healthcare evacuation plan within the last five years	
	To rate this as a 6, the HCC has a healthcare evacuation plan for the region. The plan is drafted and includes the essential planning targets and critical tasks	
	To rate this as a 5, evidence exists that HCCs are part of the healthcare evacuation planning process during revision of planning	
	To rate this as a 4, healthcare evacuation plans exist but are missing planning components, and the HCC has undertaken activities toward revising the plan	
	To rate this as a 3, healthcare evacuation plans exist but are missing planning components, and the HCC has not undertaken activity to revise the plans.	
	To rate this as a 2, there are no healthcare evacuation plans, but the HCC has undertaken activities toward the development of the plan	
	To rate this as a 1, there are no healthcare evacuation plans, and the HCC has not undertaken activities toward planning	

Within the past year, what is your HCC's MOST	Choose only one by placing an X in the box or by filling	ng in Other
IMPORTANT accomplishment related to emergency	Enhanced connectivity with Emergency Operations Center (EOC) and Emergency Operations Plan (EOP)	XXX
preparedness, response, recovery, and/or mitigation?	Improved leveraging of disparate funding streams	
	Increased ability to leverage resources and allocate scarce resources between HCC members	
	Increased availability of emergency response and recovery services for the jurisdiction; bridging response and recovery	
	Increased educational training opportunities for healthcare organizations	
	Increased emergency management skills among HCC organizations	
	Increased emergency preparedness of the jurisdiction targeted or served by the HCC, including at-risk populations	
	Increased exercising and readiness planning among HCC members (e.g., drills and exercises)	
	Increased formal agreements for resource and information exchange	
	Increased information sharing between HCC members through integrated communication	
	Increased volunteerism (e.g., Emergency System for Advance Registration of Volunteer Health Professionals)	
	Other (Please describe):	

Send completed document to <u>Jennifer.Reid@illinois.gov</u> by 8/31/16